arXiv:0803.1008v1 [math.CA] 7 Mar 2008

UDC 517.925.42 MATHEMATICS

ON MITROPOL'SKII YU.A.'S THEOREM ON PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF SYSTEMS OF NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH NON-DIFFERENTIABLE RIGHT-HAND-SIDES

A. Buică, J. Llibre, O. Yu. Makarenkov

In the present paper we study the existence, uniqueness and asymptotic stability of the T–periodic solutions for the system

$$\dot{x} = \varepsilon g(t, x, \varepsilon), \tag{1}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small parameter and the function $g \in C^0(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times [0,1], \mathbb{R}^k)$ is T–periodic in the first variable and locally Lipschitz with respect to the second one. As usual a key role will be played by the averaging function

$$g_0(v) = \int\limits_0^T g(\tau, v, 0)d\tau, \tag{2}$$

and we shall look for those periodic solutions that starts near some $v_0 \in g_0^{-1}(0)$.

In the case that g is of class C^1, we remind the periodic case of the second Bogolyubov's theorem ([2], Ch. 1, § 5, Theorem II) which represents a part of the averaging principle: $\det (g_0)'(v_0) \neq 0$ *assures the existence and uniqueness, for* $\varepsilon > 0$ *small, of a* T*–periodic solution of system (1) in a neighborhood of* v_0*, while the fact that all the eigenvalues of the matrix* $(g_0)'(v_0)$ *have negative real part, provides also its asymptotic stability.*

It was Mitropol'skii who noticed that various applications require the generalization of the second Bogolubov's theorem for Lipschitz right hand parts. Assuming that g is Lipschitz, $g_0 \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^k)$ and that all the eigenvalues of the matrix $(g_0)'(v_0)$ have negative real part Mitropol'skii developed an analog of the second Bogolyubov's Theorem proving the existence and uniqueness of a T–periodic solution of system (1) in a neighborhood of v_0. There was a great progress weakening the assumptions of Mitropol'skii in his existence result (see Samoylenko [11] and Mawhin [8]) and some analogs of his uniqueness result for equations with monotone nonlinearities have been proposed (see papers by A.I. Perov, Yu.V. Trubnikov, V.L. Hackevich [10], [12]). Nevertheless, the asymptotic stability conclusion of the second Bogolyubov's Theorem remained to be not generalized for Mitropol'skii's settings (namely, when g is Lipschitz) for a long time. It has been done recently by Buică–Daniilidis in [3] for a class of functions $v \mapsto g(t, v, 0)$ differentiable at v_0 for almost any $t \in [0, T]$, but it is assumed in [3] that the eigenvectors of the matrix $(g_0)'(v_0)$ are orthogonal and that the function g has a continuous Clarke differential, that is not easy to check in applications.

In the next section of the paper assuming that g is piecewise differentiable in the second variable we show in Theorem 2 that Mitropol'skii's conditions imply not only uniqueness, but also asymptotic stability of a T–periodic solution of system (1) in a neighborhood of v_0. In other words we show that Bogolyubov's theorem formulated above is valid when g is not necessary C^1. Theorem 2 follows from our even more general Theorem 1 whose hypotheses do not use any differentiability neither of g nor of g_0.

1. Throughout the paper $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is some open set and for any $\delta > 0$ the δ-neighborhood of $v \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is denoted by $B_\delta(v_0) = \left\{v \in \mathbb{R}^k \ : \ \|v - v_0\| \le \delta\right\}$. We have the following main result.

Theorem 1. *Let $g \in C^0(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times [0, 1], \mathbb{R}^k)$ and $v_0 \in \Omega$. Assume the following four conditions.*

(i) For some $L > 0$ we have that $\|g(t, v_1, \varepsilon) - g(t, v_2, \varepsilon)\| \le L \|v_1 - v_2\|$ for any $t \in$

$[0,T]$, $v_1, v_2 \in \Omega$, $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$.

(ii) For any $\gamma > 0$ *there exists* $\delta > 0$ *such that*

$$\left\| \int_0^T g(\tau, v_1 + u(\tau), \varepsilon) d\tau - \int_0^T g(\tau, v_2 + u(\tau), \varepsilon) d\tau \right.$$
$$\left. - \int_0^T g(\tau, v_1, 0) d\tau + \int_0^T g(\tau, v_2, 0) d\tau \right\| \le \gamma \|v_1 - v_2\|$$

for any $u \in C^0([0,T], \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\|u\| \le \delta$, $v_1, v_2 \in B_\delta(v_0)$ *and* $\varepsilon \in [0, \delta]$.

(iii) Let g_0 *be the averaging function given by (2) and consider that* $g_0(v_0) = 0$.

(iv) There exist $q \in [0,1)$, $\alpha, \delta_0 > 0$ *and a norm* $\| \cdot \|_0$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^k$ *such that* $\|v_1 + \alpha g_0(v_1) - v_2 - \alpha g_0(v_2)\|_0 \le q \|v_1 - v_2\|_0$ *for any* $v_1, v_2 \in B_{\delta_0}(v_0)$.

Then there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ *such that for every* $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta_1]$ *system (1) has exactly one* T*–periodic solution* x_ε *with* $x_\varepsilon(0) \in B_{\delta_1}(v_0)$. *Moreover the solution* x_ε *is asymptotically stable and* $x_\varepsilon(0) \to v_0$ *as* $\varepsilon \to 0$.

When solution $x(\cdot, v, \varepsilon)$ of system (1) with initial condition $x(0, v, \varepsilon) = v$ is well defined on $[0,T]$ for any $v \in B_{\delta_0}(v_0)$, the map $v \mapsto x(T, v, \varepsilon)$ is well defined and it is said to be the *Poincaré map* of system (1). The proof of existence, uniqueness and stability of the T–periodic solutions of system (1) in Theorem 1 reduces to the study of corresponding properties of the fixed points of this map. More precisely, in order to prove Theorem 1 we represent $x(T, v, \varepsilon)$ as

$$x(T, v, \varepsilon) = v + \varepsilon g_\varepsilon(v), \quad \text{where} \quad g_\varepsilon(v) = \int\limits_0^T g(\tau, x(\tau, v, \varepsilon), \varepsilon) d\tau.$$

Then we show that the function g_ε satisfies a Lipschitz condition uniformly in $\varepsilon > 0$ in the ball $B_{\delta_0}(v_0)$, where $\delta_0 > 0$ is a fixed small constant and moreover that g_ε satisfies the following analog of the property (ii): *for any* $\gamma > 0$ *there exists* $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$ *such that*

$$\|g_\varepsilon(v_1) - g_0(v_1) - g_\varepsilon(v_2) + g_0(v_2)\| \le \gamma \|v_1 - v_2\|$$

for all $v_1, v_2 \in B_\delta(v_0)$ *and* $\varepsilon \in [0, \delta]$.

This allows to conclude that if $I + \varepsilon g_0$ does not exceed $1 - \varepsilon\widetilde{q}$ (where $\widetilde{q}$ is a constant) then the Lipschitz constant of the function $I + \varepsilon g_\varepsilon$ does not exceed 1 for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Thus the conclusion of theorem 1 follows by applying the asymptotic stability of the Poincaré map fixed point lemma (see e.g. [6], lemma 9.2). It turns out that the Lipschitz constant of the function $I + \varepsilon g_0$ does not exceed $1 - \varepsilon\widetilde{q}$ indeed, where $\widetilde{q} = (1 - q)/\alpha$, providing that the assumptions of theorem 1 are satisfied.

In general it is not easy to check assumptions (ii) and (iv) in the applications of Theorem 1. Thus we give also the following theorem based on Theorem 1 which assumes certain type of piecewise differentiability instead of (ii) and deals with properties of the matrix $(g_0)'(v_0)$ instead of the Lipschitz constant of g_0. For any set $M \subset [0, T]$ measurable in the sense of Lebesgue we denote by $\mathrm{mes}(M)$ the Lebesgue measure of M.

Theorem 2. *Let* $g \in C^0(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times [0, 1], \mathbb{R}^k)$ *satisfying (i). Let* g_0 *be the averaging function given by (2) and consider* $v_0 \in \Omega$ *such that* $g_0(v_0) = 0$. *Assume that*

(v) given any $\widetilde{\gamma} > 0$ *there exist* $\widetilde{\delta} > 0$ *and* $M \subset [0, T]$ *measurable in the sense of Lebesgue with* $\mathrm{mes}(M) < \widetilde{\gamma}$ *such that for every* $v \in B_{\widetilde{\delta}}(v_0)$, $t \in [0, T] \setminus M$ *and* $\varepsilon \in [0, \widetilde{\delta}]$ *we have that* $g(t, \cdot, \varepsilon)$ *is differentiable at* v *and* $\|g'_v(t, v, \varepsilon) - g'_v(t, v_0, 0)\| \le \widetilde{\gamma}$.

Finally assume that

(vi) g_0 *is continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of* v_0 *and the real parts of all the eigenvalues of* $(g_0)'(v_0)$ *are negative.*

Then there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ *such that for every* $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta_1]$, *system (1) has exactly one* $T-$*periodic solution* x_ε *with* $x_\varepsilon(0) \in B_{\delta_1}(v_0)$. *Moreover the solution* x_ε *is asymptotically stable and* $x_\varepsilon(0) \to v_0$ *as* $\varepsilon \to 0$.

For proving Theorem 2 we observe that the property (v) implies (ii), while the property (vi) implies (iv). The latter observation is totally based on the lemma 2 from the Krasnosekskii's book [5] (P. 91).

2. Consider now an application of the result announced.

In his paper [4] Hogan first proved the existence of a limit cycle for the nonsmooth van der Pol equation $\ddot{u}+\varepsilon(|u|-1)\dot{u}+u=0$. By means of the results from the previous section we derive now the resonance curves determining the location of stable periodic solutions of the perturbed equation

$$\ddot{u}+\varepsilon\left(|u|-1\right)\dot{u}+(1+a\varepsilon)u=\varepsilon\lambda\sin t, \tag{3}$$

where a is a detuning parameter and $\varepsilon\lambda\sin t$ is an external force.

Following Andronov and Witt [1] we are concerned with the dependence of the amplitude of 2π-periodic solutions of (3) on a and λ providing that $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small. By the other words we look for such A and ϕ which determine 2π-periodic solutions of (3) converging as $\varepsilon\to 0$ to

$$u(t)=A\sin(t+\phi),\quad \dot{u}(t)=A\cos(t+\phi). \tag{4}$$

The assumption (v) of theorem 2 applied to (3) (after rewritting (3) in the form (1)) turns out to be satisfied for any $a,\lambda_0\in\mathbb{R}$, while the assumption $\det(g_0)'((A\sin\phi,A\cos\phi))\neq 0$ (required for validity of (vi)) brings us to the following algebraic equation

$$A^2\left(a^2+\left(1-\frac{4}{3\pi}|A|\right)^2\right)=\lambda^2. \tag{5}$$

Furthermore the negativity assumption for the real parts of eigenvalues of $(g_0)'(M,N)$

takes the form of the following two inequalities

$$\pi^2(1+a^2)+\frac{32}{9}(M^2+N^2)-4\pi\sqrt{M^2+N^2}>0, \tag{6}$$

and

$$2\left(\pi-2\sqrt{M^2+N^2}\right)<0. \tag{7}$$

Therefore theorem 2 allows to conclude that the solution $u(t) = A\sin(t+\phi)$ generates asymptotically stable 2π-periodic solutions of equation (3) providing that A, $M = A\sin\phi$, $N = A\cos\phi$ satisfy (5)-(7).

We note that the corresponding assumptions for the classical Van der Pol equation $\ddot{u}+\varepsilon\left(u^2-1\right)\dot{u}+(1+a\varepsilon)u=\varepsilon\lambda\sin t$ have the following form (see the formulas (5.21) and (16.6)–(16.7) of Malkin's book [7])

$$A^2\left(a^2+\left(1-\frac{A^2}{4}\right)^2\right)=\lambda^2,$$

$$1+a^2-(M^2+N^2)+\frac{3}{16}(M^2+N^2)^2>0,$$

$$2-(M^2+N^2)<0$$

respectively.

The second author is partially supported by a MEC/FEDER grant number MTM2005-06098-C02-01 and by a CICYT grant number 2005SGR 00550 and the third author is partially supported by the Grant BF6M10 of Russian Federation Ministry of Education and CRDF (US), and by RFBR Grants 06-01-72552, 05-01-00100.

The authors thank Professor A. I. Perov for discussions of the results.

References

[1] *Andronov A. A., Witt A. A.* // Z. Prikl. Phis. 1930, Vol. 6, No. 4. Pp. 3–17. (Russian)

[2] *Bogolyubov N. N.,* On Some Statistical Methods in Mathematical Physics, Akademiya Nauk Ukrainskoi SSR, 1945 (Russian)

[3] *Buică A. and Daniilidis A.* // Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2007. Vol 135. No. 10. Pp. 3317–3327.

[4] *Hogan S. J.* // J. Sound Vibration. 2003. Vol. 263. No. 2. Pp. 467–471.

[5] *Krasnosel'skii M. A.* Positive solutions of operator equations, Fiz.Mat.Lit, Moscow, Nauka, 1962. (Russian)

[6] *Krasnosel'skii M. A.* The operator of translation along the trajectories of differential equations, Fiz.Mat.Lit, Moscow, Nauka, 1966. (Russian)

[7] *Malkin I. G.* Some problems of the theory of nonlinear oscillations, Gosudarstv. Izdat. Tehn.-Teor. Lit., Moscow, 1956. (Russian),

[8] *Mawhin J.* // Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège. 1969. Vol. 38. P. 308–398.

[9] *Mitropol'skii Yu. A.* // Ukrain. Mat. Ž. 1959. Vol. 11. No. 4. Pp. 366–379. (Russian)

[10] *Perov A. I.* Periodic oscillations. Voronezh State University, 1973.

[11] *Samoylenko A. M.* // Ukrain. Mat. Ž. 1963. Vol. XV. No. 3. Pp. 328–332.

[12] *Trubnikov Yu.V., Perov A.I.* Differential equations with monotone nonlinearities. Nn.: Nauka i tekhnika, 1986.

A. Buică: Department of Applied Mathematics, Babeş–Bolyai University, Cluj–Napoca, Romania (abuica@math.ubbcluj.ro).

J. Llibre: Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain (jllibre@mat.uab.cat).

O. Yu. Makarenkov (corresponding author): Research Institute of Mathematics, Voronezh State University, 394006, Universitetskaja pl. 1, Voronezh, Russia (omakarenkov@math.vsu.ru).